UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,061	08/12/2002	Eduardo Casais	878.0003.U1(US)	8518
29683 H A R R INGTO	7590 11/19/2007 N & SMITH PC		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			KARIKARI, KWASI	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/088,061	CASAIS, EDUARDO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kwasi Karikari	2617		

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 23 October 0207 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. Make The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) X will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-8,11-12,14-16,20-23 and 25-45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \(\text{\text{The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached detailed action sheet. 12. \(\subseteq\) Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \(\subseteq\) 13. Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Advisory Action is in response to the Amendment After-Final filed 10/23/07. Claims 1,2,6-8,11-12,14-16,20-23 and 25-45 are currently pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, the Applicant admits that Levy's U.S provisional application No. 60/134,782 does mention "short-range wireless broadcast", but Levy et al., (U.S 6.505,160) fails to mention "short-range wireless broadcast".

However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with such an assertion. See below for further clarification.

Levy et al., (U.S 6.505,160) mentions that; ["the path of the identifier from the decoding process, and the return path from a server to the communication application may include one or more hops through a wire or wireless connection using standard wire and wireless communication protocols like TCP/IP, HTTP, XML, WAP, Bluetooth, etc.]

The "short-range wireless broadcast", in the provisional application No. 60/134,782, is an example of the "wireless communication protocol" mentioned in Levy et al., (U.S 6.505,160), as shown above. Thus, the Levy et al., (U.S 6.505,160) and the provisional application No. 60/134,782 both indicates wireless communication protocols.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,061

Art Unit: 2617

Furthermore, it is well known in the art that short- range communication applications may use Low Power radio frequency due to the short distance parameter in which those short-range application has to operate.

Page 3

Therefore, the combination of Birgerson and Levy is proper and the finality of the Office Action is maintained.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached on 571-272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kwasi Karikari Patent Examiner. 10/29/207

RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11/12/07